#### GeoGebra Tools with Proof Capabilities

Zoltán Kovács and Csilla Sólyom-Gecse

STEM Education Centre Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Austria

Sixth Central and Eastern European Conference on Computer Algebra and Dynamic Geometry Systems in Mathematics Education

Târgu Mureș, Romania, 7 September 2016

#### Abstract

We report about significant enhancements of the complex algebraic geometry theorem proving subsystem in GeoGebra for automated proofs in Euclidean geometry, concerning the extension of numerous GeoGebra tools with proof capabilities. As a result, a number of elementary theorems can be proven by using GeoGebra's intuitive user interface on various computer architectures including native Java and web based systems with JavaScript. We also provide a test suite for benchmarking our results with more than 200 test cases.

# Outline



2 The ProveDetails algorithm

#### 3 Examples

- The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent
- Inversions map lines to circles

#### ④ Evaluation

- Implemented tools
- A benchmark
- Application in schools?

#### Introduction

- What is GeoGebra?
- Automated theorem proving (ATP) and computer algebra systems (CAS).
- Using Gröbner bases.

< ∃ >

# The ProveDetails algorithm (Recio-Vélez, 1996, extended)

- **3** Turn the input question  $\mathcal{Q} = (H_1, ...; T)$  to an eq. sys. S with vars  $v_1, ...$  by using reductio ad absurdum, Rabinowitsch's trick and the fixed point A(0,0).
- Send S with some order of the indep. vars as input to the CAS (Giac/Singular) for computing an equivalent equation system by eliminating the dep. vars.
- Get an equivalent equation system S' back as set of equations with polynomial factors on the LHS and 0 on the RHS. ("Flatten" factors with higher exponents.)
- If  $S' = \{1 = 0\}$ , then output: "always true".
- **5** If  $S' = \{0 = 0\}$ , then output: "false".
- **(**) Otherwise, for each polynomial p of the LHS of eq. p = 0 in S',
  - **1** let score  $s_p := 0$  initially,
  - 2 for each factor f in p,
    - if f has a usable geometrical meaning, then add the educational usability score  $u_f$  to  $s_p$ , else remove p = 0 from S'.
- **3** If  $S' = \{x_P x_Q = 0, y_P y_Q = 0\}$  for the variables of the free points P and Q, then output: "true if  $P \neq Q$ ".
- Obose the best polynomial p in S' with the lowest score s<sub>p</sub> <∞, output: the geometrical meanings of its factors in denied form as conjectures.</p>
- Otherwise output: "true under certain conditions".

The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Setting up the free variables



Zoltán Kovács and Csilla Sólyom-Gecse GeoGebra Tools with Proof Capabilities

The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

#### Setting up polynomial equations for the hypotheses



The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Setting up polynomial equations for the hypotheses



The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

э

#### Setting up the conjecture (thesis) in denied form



The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Eliminating the non-free variables from the eq. system

The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Eliminating the non-free variables from the eq. system

```
0>> factor(eliminate(subst([-v12+v6+v3-v1,-v11+v5-v4+v2,
-v10-v5+v4+v1,-v9+v6+v3-v2,-v8+v5-v3+v2,-v7-v6+v4+v1,
v15*v10-v16*v9-v15*v4+v9*v4+v16*v3-v10*v3,
v15*v12-v16*v11-v15*v6+v11*v6+v16*v5-v12*v5,
v13*v8-v14*v7-v13*v2+v7*v2+v14*v1-v8*v1,
v13*v10-v14*v9-v13*v4+v9*v4+v14*v3-v10*v3,
((v13-v15)*v17-1)*((v14-v16)*v17-1)],[v1=0,v2=0]),
[v7,v8,v9,v10,v11,v12,v13,v14,v15,v16,v17]))
[v5*v4-v3*v6,
v6*(v5*v3-v3^2+v6*v4-v4^2),
-v3*(v4*v6+v3*v5-v5^2-v6^2),
v6*(v4-v6)*(v3^2+v4^2)]
// Time 0.03
```

#### Translating the non-degeneracy conditions back to geometry

From the "Gröbner basis" black box:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad v_5 v_4 - v_3 v_6 = 0, \\ \bullet \quad v_6 \cdot (v_5 v_3 - v_3^2 + v_6 v_4 - v_4^2) = 0, \\ \bullet \quad -v_3 \cdot (v_4 v_6 + v_3 v_5 - v_5^2 - v_6^2) = 0, \\ \bullet \quad v_6 \cdot (v_4 - v_6) \cdot (v_3^2 + v_4^2) = 0. \end{array}$$

Geometric translations:

- Let ABC be a non-degenerate triangle. Then the intersection points of the altitudes are identical.
- 2 Let ABC be a triangle with  $AB \not\perp AC$  such that the y-coordinates of A and C differ. Then the intersection points of the altitudes are identical.
- Solution 2.5 Let ABC be a triangle with  $AC \not\perp BC$  such that the x-coordinates of A and B differ. Then...
- Let ABC be a triangle such that the y-coordinates of A and C, and B and C, differ, and also  $A \neq B$ . Then...

The altitudes of a triangle are concurrent Inversions map lines to circles

## Relation Tool demo



Implemented tools A benchmark Application in schools?

# Implemented tools



Zoltán Kovács and Csilla Sólyom-Gecse GeoGebra Tools with Proof Capabilities

э

Implemented tools A benchmark Application in schools?

# ProveDetails algorithm: a comparison (2015)

#### Test name | GB (Singular) | GB (Giac) | Wu (OpenGeoProver) | Auto

Simson2	A = B A = C B = C	1275		5921	$b = h_1$	232	$b = h_1$	6122
square1		45		256		83		255
square2		48		268		112		257
square3		46		270		104		256
symmedians		19		230		75		295
Thales1	A = C	52	A = C	280	$f_1 = g$	193	A = C	265
Thales2	A = B	55	A = B	295		113	A = B	311
Thales3	A = C	53	A = C	291	B = C	120	A = C	287
triangle-areas		52		290		63		390
triangle-mns		42		271	$a = f_1$ $d \parallel e$	475		255
triangle-mt1		38		244		77		248
triangle-mt2		38		260		76		244
triangle-mt3		41		246		86		256
triangle-mt4		30		267		78		269
triangle-mt5		37		243		83		249
true		2		1		2		1
Varignon		40		248		85		266
Total (of 60)	47		45		48		49	

Current status: http://tinyurl.com/provertest (246 test cases as of 2016 August)

A B A A B A

Implemented tools A benchmark Application in schools?

#### Use in education?

... increased availability in school mathematics instruction of ... dynamic geometry systems... raised the concern that such programmes would make the boundaries between conjecturing and proving even less clear for students... [They] allow students to check easily and quickly a very large number of cases, thus helping students "see" mathematical properties more easily and potentially "killing" any need for students to engage in actual proving. (Lin, Yang, Lee, Tabach and G. Stylianides, "Principles of Task Design for Conjecturing and Proving", Springer, 2012, 305-326.)

マロト マヨト マヨ

Introduction Implemented tools The ProveDetails algorithm A benchmark Examples Evaluation Application in schools?

# References

- G. Ancsin, M. Hohenwarter & Z. Kovács (2013). GeoGebra goes web. The Electronic Journal of Mathematics and Technology, 7(6):412–418.
- M. Hohenwarter, M. Borcherds, G. Ancsin, B. Bencze, M. Blossier, A. Delobelle, C. Denizet, J. Éliás, Á. Fekete, L. Gál, Z. Konečný, Z. Kovács, S. Lizelfelner, B. Parisse & G. Sturr (2014). GeoGebra 5.
- Z. Kovács & B. Parisse (2015). Giac and GeoGebra improved Gröbner basis computations. In J. Gutierrez, J. Schicho & M. Weimann (eds.), *Computer Algebra and Polynomials*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, p. 126–138. Springer.
- F. Botana, M. Hohenwarter, P. Janičić, Z. Kovács, I. Petrović, T. Recio & S. Weitzhofer (2015). Automated theorem proving in GeoGebra: Current achievements. Journal of Automated Reasoning, 55(1):39–59.
- Z. Kovács (2015). The Relation Tool in GeoGebra 5. In Post-conference Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Automated Deduction in Geometry (ADG 2014), 9-11 July 2014, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer.
- Z. Kovács & C. Sólyom-Gecse (2016): GeoGebra Tools with Proof Capabilities. arXiv:1603.01228v1 [cs.Al]